1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 JULIA HUBBARD, et al., Case No. 2:22-cv-7957-FLA-MAA 12 Plaintiffs, [PROPOSED] ORDER DENYING 13 v. **DEFENDANT MILLER'S MOTION** 14 TO DISMISS TRAMMELL S. CROW, JR., et al., 15 Defendants. 16 17 18 19 20 On April 7, 2023, Plaintiffs Julia Hubbard and Kayla Goedinghaus 21 (collectively, "Plaintiffs") filed an Opposition to Defendant Dr. Melissa 22 Miller's ("Miller") Motion to Dismiss under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23 12(b)(6) for Lack of Personal Jurisdiction (the "Opposition"). 24 Plaintiffs have made a prima facie showing that Defendant Miller has 25 minimum contacts with California, and purposefully availed herself to the 26 law and protections of California through her alleged participation in the 27 Venture. 28

1	The Court, having considered the parties' Opposition, all papers filed	
2	and the arguments of counsel, and finding good cause therefore, hereby	
3	DENIES Defendant Miller's Motion to Dismiss.	
4		
5	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
6		
7	Dated:	
8		FERNANDO L. AENLLE-ROCHA
9		Jnited States District Judge
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		